FLORIDA DIFFERENTIATED ACCOUNTABILITY PROGRAM 2012-2013 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

School Name: LINDSEY HOPKINS TECHNICAL ED CENTER

District Name: Dade

Principal: Nyce Daniel

SAC Chair: Mario Martinez-Decastro

Superintendent: Alberto Carvalho

Date of School Board Approval: Pending

Last Modified on: 10/30/2012

PART I: CURRENT SCHOOL STATUS

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA

Note: The following links will open in a separate browser window.

School Grades Trend Data

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

ADMINISTRATORS

List your school's administrators and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an administrator, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and Ambitious but achievable annual measurable objective (AMO) progress.

Position	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification(s)	# of Years at Current School	an	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO Progress along with the associated school year)
Principal	Ms. Nyce Daniel	Bachelor of Science Degree – Psychology and Secondary Education St. Thomas University Master of Science Degree Guidance and	3	12	Total LCPs Earned 2011-12: 1683 Total OCPs Earned 2011-12: 1475



Gerard Robinson, Commissioner Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dr. Mike Grego, Chancellor K-12 Public Schools Florida Department of Education 325 West Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Assis Principal	Carlos Cambo	Counseling St. Thomas University Bachelor of Arts- Tulane University Master of Science – Nova University Certifications: Mathematics (6-12) Educational Leadership (K-12)	1	24	2011-12 '10 '09 '08 '07 SchoolGrade AYP N N N N N High Standards Rdg. High Standards Math Lrng Gains-Rdg. Lrng Gains-Math Gains-Rdg-25% Gains-Math-25%
Assis Principal	Hilda Iglesias	Bachelors of Arts in Business Education Montclair State University, Master of Arts in Business Education Montclair State University, Certifications- Administration and Supervision (K-12), Adult Administration, Business Education (6-12)	1	14	2011-2012 N/A The English Center EFLs (Educational Functioning Level =LCPs) 2011-12: 1728 (Pending survey S) 2010-11: 3836 2009-10: 2517 2008-09: 3067 2007-08: 3046 OCPs 2011-12: 604 2010-11: 592 2009-10: 572 2008-09: 633 2007-08: 753 2006-07: 697
Assis Principal	Gonzalo Raventós	Master of Science Degree, Educational Leadership, Nova Southeastern University. Certification – Educational Leadership Bachelor of Arts Degree, Communications, Florida State University. Certification – English / Language Arts	8	13	2011-2012 Total LCPs Earned: 1683 Total OCPs Earned: 1475 10 09 08 07 06 Total LCPs Earned 2096 2414 2714 2171 2422 Total OCPs Earned 1636 1821 1840 1925 2174 Total Completion Rate N/A 66.76% 69.59% 74.58% 66.29% Total Placement Rate N/A 83.25% 91.52% 91.73% 69.23%
Assis Principal	Chely Rajoy- Tarpin	Educational Specialist M.S. B.S. Florida International University Adm. Adult Ed. School Principal Social Science (6- 12) Mid-Grades Endors. Teacher Coordinator Coop. Educ. Endors. Coordinator DCT	3	20	2011-2012 Total LCPs Earned: 1683 Total OCPs Earned: 1475 2009-2010 Total LCPs Earned 2096 Total OCPs Earned 1636 2005-2008 Principal at The English Center GED graduates 2004-05: 21 2005-06: 26 2006-07: 23 2007-08: 20 2008-09: 25 Educational Functioning Levels (EFLs) or LCPs 2004-05: 4414 2005-06: 2616 2006-07: 2925 2007-08: 3489 2008-09: 3342 OCPs 2004-05: 737 2005-06: 574 2006-07: 495 2007-08: 465 2008-09: 376

INSTRUCTIONAL COACHES

List your school's instructional coaches and briefly describe their certification(s), number of years at the current school, number of years as an instructional coach, and their prior performance record with increasing student achievement at each school. Include history of school grades, FCAT/Statewide assessment performance (Percentage data for achievement levels, learning gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress. Instructional coaches described in this section are only those who are fully released or part-time teachers in reading, mathematics, or science and work only at the school site.

	Name	Degree(s)/ Certification (s)	# of Years at Current School	# of Years as an Instructional Coach	Prior Performance Record (include prior School Grades, FCAT/Statewide Assessment Achievement Levels, Learning Gains, Lowest 25%), and AMO progress along with the associated school year)		
No data submitted							

EFFECTIVE AND HIGHLY EFFECTIVE TEACHERS

Describe the school-based strategies that will be used to recruit and retain high quality, effective teachers to the school.

Description of Strategy	Person Responsible	Projected Completion Date	Not Applicable (If not, please explain why)	
No data submitted				

Non-Highly Effective Instructors

Provide the number of instructional staff and paraprofessionals that are teaching out-of-field and/or who received less than an effective rating (instructional staff only).

*When using percentages, include the number of teachers the percentage represents (e.g., 70% [35]).

Number of staff and paraprofessional that are teaching out-of-field/ and who are not highly effective.	Provide the strategies that are being implemented to support the staff in becoming highly effective
No data submitted	

Staff Demographics

Please complete the following demographic information about the instructional staff in the school.

Total Number of Instructional Staff	% of First- Year Teachers	% of Teachers with 1-5 Years of Experience	% of Teachers with 6-14 Years of Experience	WITH 13+		_ ·	Endorsod	Duard	Endorsed
39	2.6%(1)	10.3%(4)	20.5%(8)	66.7%(26)	56.4%(22)	0.0%(0)	5.1%(2)	2.6%(1)	10.3%(4)

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

Please describe the school's teacher mentoring program/plan by including the names of mentors, the name(s) of mentees, rationale for the pairing, and the planned mentoring activities.

Mentor Name	Mentee Assigned	Rationale for Pairing	for Planned Mentoring Activities		
N/A					

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

Coordination and Integration

Note: For Title I schools only

Please describe how federal, state, and local services and programs will be coordinated and integrated in the school. Include other Title programs, Migrant and Homeless, Supplemental Academic Instruction funds, as well as violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, career and technical education, and/or job training, as applicable.

Title I, Part A
Title I, Part C- Migrant
Title I, Part D
Title II
Title III
Title X- Homeless
Supplemental Academic Instruction (SAI)
Violence Prevention Programs
Nutrition Programs
Housing Programs
Head Start
Adult Education
Career and Technical Education
Job Training
Other

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS)/Response to Instruction/Intervention (Rtl)

School-based MTSS/RtI Team

Identify the school-based MTSS leadership team.

Principal, Assistant Principals, Department Chairs, and Administrative Assistants

Describe how the school-based MTSS Leadership Team functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions). How does it work with other school teams to organize/coordinate MTSS efforts?

The team will utilize Data in Your Hands to monitor overall student achievement. The Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) and the Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment System (CASAS) results will be utilized to individualize instruction and empower teachers to improve student performance thereby increasing Literacy Completion Points and Occupational Completion Points. The team will review counselor intake procedures and determine new processes to identify and schedule students with greater barriers to learning. The team will also monitor classroom instruction to ascertain student progress. The team will conduct reading in-services to all ESOL, GED, ABE teachers. The team will also coordinate the use of tutors to provide individualized instruction.

Describe the role of the school-based MTSS Leadership Team in the development and implementation of the school improvement plan. Describe how the RtI Problem-solving process is used in developing and implementing the SIP?

The MTSS team members will be instrumental in monitoring the implementation of the school improvement plan by ensuring that the objectives/goals and strategies of the plan are met and that accommodations are made to meet plan goals. The team will also provide feedback for modifying and/or deleting strategies.

MTSS Implementation

Describe the data source(s) and the data management system(s) used to summarize data at each tier for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and behavior.

The Data In Your Hands application will be used to monitor school-wide data. The team will also refer to upto-date TABE and CASAS scores to monitor individual student performance.

Describe the plan to train staff on MTSS.

Staff is trained through Miami Dade County Public Schools District Bilingual and Adult Education Office. Every year staff is trained yearly with the new trends.

Describe the plan to support MTSS.

Both systems are supported both at the District and school level. The students are monitored and tracked through the system as well. For the CASAS, students are provided with a pretest and a posttest. The scores are compared to determine growth. TABE scores are kept on record. Students must complete the TABE requirement before completion of the vocational course.

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

School-Based Literacy Leadership Team

Identify the school-based Literacy Leadership Team (LLT).

Assistant Principal, Hilda Iglesias; ESOL Department Chair, Ellen Leeds; Dual Enrollment Coordinator, Louise Mack

Describe how the school-based LLT functions (e.g., meeting processes and roles/functions).

The team meets every trimester to ensure that the vocational teachers are implementing reading strategies in their curriculums. The Dual Enrollment Coordinator meets with the student, parent and administrator at the high school to interview the potential candidates. The student's academic grades, attendance and discipline are monitored. Students must have achieved a 3 or higher on the FCAT Reading portion to enroll in the Dual Enrollment Program.

What will be the major initiatives of the LLT this year?

All vocational teachers will be implementing more reading comprehension and vocabulary in the course of study. Higher Order Questions are also implemented through the courses as well.

Public School Choice

Supplemental Educational Services (SES) Notification No Attachment

*Elementary Title I Schools Only: Pre-School Transition

Describe plans for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs as applicable.

*Grades 6-12 Only

Sec. 1003.413(b) F.S.

For schools with Grades 6-12, describe the plan to ensure that teaching reading strategies is the responsibility of every teacher.

Reading strategies are implemented in all content areas. All staff is allocated the opportunity to participate in applicable professional development. The Literacy Leadership Team monitors the implementation of school-wide literacy strategies across the curriculum.

*High Schools Only

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1003.413(g)(j) F.S.

How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future?

We are a post-secondary vocational school that offers Dual Enrollment courses to 10th, 11th, and 12th grade students. We offer ten vocational areas in which the students may enroll: Commercial Foods and Culinary Arts, Automotive Service Technology, Computer Systems Technology, Commercial Arts Technology, Fashion Services, Early Childhood Education, Nails Specialty, Facials Specialty, Tile Setting, and Landscape Management.

How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?

Ms. Mack, the Dual Enrollment Coordinator, works with the participating high schools counselors and program specialists to identify potential students. Ms. Mack interviews the student and meets with parents to discuss the program. As they are enrolled, Ms. Mack works with the vocational teacher to monitor grades, attendance and discipline. Ms. Mack, the vocational teachers, and counselor at the school prepare the student to transition to post-secondary education may it be at a vocational school or college level. They work with the student to prepare and complete financial aide application.

Postsecondary Transition

Note: Required for High School - Sec. 1008.37(4), F.S.

Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the <u>High School Feedback Report</u>

Our school is not listed in the High School Feedback Report. Lindsey Hopkins Technical Education Center is classified as a vocational post-secondary institution. By definition, all of our 10, 11 and 12th grade students are dual enrolled in high school and post-secondary level courses. Our students receive up to 3 high school credits per year. They then remain at Lindsey Hopkins Technical Education Center as adult vocational students for an additional year of education. We have direct articulation agreements with Miami-Dade for transition to an AS degree program in their area of study. Students also prepare for and take the assessments for the industry certification for each area.

PART II: EXPECTED IMPROVEMENTS

Reading Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
	1a. FCAT2.0: Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in reading.				
Reading Goal #1a:					
2012 Current Leve	l of Performance:		2013 Exp	pected Level of Per	formance:
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Pos Barrier Strategy Res for			son or tion ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:							
1b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in reading. Reading Goal #1b:							
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi	on or tion oonsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

No Data Submitted

Monitoring

for

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2a. FCAT 2.0: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 in reading.						
Reading Goal #2a:						
2012 Current Leve	l of Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to II	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt	
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy					Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted						

3	Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:						
2b. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 7 in reading. Reading Goal #2b:							
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:				
Problem-Solving Process to Inc				Student Achieveme	nt		
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		

No Data Submitted

	s of student achievemen leed of improvement for			0	uestions", identify
3a. FCAT 2.0: Perce making learning ga	-				
Reading Goal #3a:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Exj	pected Level of Per	formance:	
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data	Submitted	·	

3	s of student achievemen eed of improvement for			0	uestions", identify	
3b. Florida Alterna Percentage of stud Gains in reading. Reading Goal #3b:	te Assessment: ents making Learning					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exj	pected Level of Per	formance:	
Pro	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement					
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	

Monitoring No Data Submitted

for

	s of student achievemen leed of improvement for				uestions", identify
	ntage of students in ng learning gains in				
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Exj	pected Level of Per	formance:	
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target								
5A. Ambitiou Measurable six year scho achievement	Objectives (A ool will reduc	AMOs). In ce their	e their					
Baseline data 2010- 2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017		

Based on the analysis of student achievement dat and define areas in need of improvement for the f	0 0
5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian) not making satisfactory progress in reading.	

Reading Goal #5B:

					<i>c</i>
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Ex	pected Level of Pe	rformance:	
	Problem-Solving P	rocess to I	ncrease \$	Student Achievem	ent
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Pos Res for	son or ition ponsible nitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Too
				•	•

5	s of student achievemen leed of improvement for			0	uestions", identify
	ge Learners (ELL) not y progress in reading.				
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Exj	pected Level of Per	formance:	
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Data S	Submitted		

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in reading.					
Reading Goal #5D:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:				

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement							
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool			
No Data Submitted							

Ū.	s of student achievemen leed of improvement for			•	uestions", identify
_	isadvantaged student ctory progress in read				
Reading Goal #5E:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exp	pected Level of Per	formance:
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to Iı	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic Grade and/or PLC Focus	and/or PLC	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level,	(e.g. , early	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	---------------	--	------------------	--	---

	O	r school- wide)	Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	
	No Dat	a Submitte	d	

Reading Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of **Reading** Goals

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.

1. Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking.

CELLA Goal #1:

2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in listening/speaking:

Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement						
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Students read in English at grade level text in a manner similar to non-ELL students.				
2. Students scoring proficient in reading.				
CELLA Goal #2:				
2012 Current Perc	ent of Students Profi	cient in read	ing:	
Prot	olem-Solving Process	to Increase S	Student Achieveme	ent
Anticipated Barrier Strategy Strategy Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring Strategy Evaluation Tool				
No Data Submitted				

Students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students.				
3. Students scoring proficient in writing.				
CELLA Goal #3:				
2012 Current Percent of Students Proficient in writing:				
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

CELLA Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CELLA Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Mathematics Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
 Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 in mathematics. Mathematics Goal #1: 		ents		
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Ex	pected Level of Pe	rformance:
Prob	elem-Solving Process	to Increase	Student Achievem	ent
Anticipated Pos Barrier Strategy Res for		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted				

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in mathematics.Mathematics Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Ex	pected Level of Pe	rformance:	
Prob	elem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease	Student Achievem	ent
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
3. Florida Alternate Assessment: Percent of students making learning gains in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #3:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Ex	pected Level of Pe	rformance:
Prob	elem-Solving Process	toIr	ncrease \$	Student Achievem	ent
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

High School Mathematics AMO Goals

Based on Ambitious but Achievable Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), AMO-2, Reading and Math Performance Target						
5A. Ambitio Annual Meas (AMOs). In reduce their 50%.	surable Obje six year scho	ectives ool will	Mathematics Go	oal # 5A :		
Baseline data 2010- 2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5B. Student subgroups by ethnicity (White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian)

not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal	#5B:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Exj	2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
Pro	blem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease \$	Student Achieveme	ent
Anticipated Posi Barrier Strategy Res for		son or ition ponsible nitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
5C. English Language Learners (ELL) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #5C:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exj	pected Level of Per	formance:
Pro	blem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease \$	Student Achieveme	ent
Anticipated Pos Barrier Strategy Res for		itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:

5D. Students with Disabilities (SWD) not making satisfactory progress in mathematics.					
Mathematics Goal #5D:					
2012 Current Leve	I of Performance:		2013 Exj	pected Level of Per	formance:
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease \$	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsit for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following subgroup:					
E. Economically Dis making satisfactor mathematics.	sadvantaged students y progress in	s not			
Mathematics Goal	E:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exj	pected Level of Per	formance:
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Res for	son or ition ponsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

End of High School Mathematics Goals

Algebra End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
 Students scorin in Algebra. 	g at Achievement Lev	vel			
Algebra Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Ex	pected Level of Pe	rformance:
Prot	elem-Solving Process	to Ir	ncrease \$	Student Achievem	ent
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

	is of student achieveme areas in need of improve				Questions",
2. Students scorin Achievement Leve	g at or above Is 4 and 5 in Algebra				
Algebra Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			013 Exj	pected Level of Pe	rformance:
Prob	lem-Solving Process	to Inc	crease S	Student Achieveme	ent
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person Position Respon for Monito	on onsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #1	:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Ex	pected Level of Pe	rformance:
Prot	elem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease	Student Achievem	ent
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Res for	son or ition ponsible iitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Geometry.					
Geometry Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Ex	pected Level of Pe	rformance:
Prob	lem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease	Student Achievem	ent
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

No Data Submitted

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC,subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	
No Data Submitted							

Mathematics Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s))/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of Mathematics Goals

Florida Alternate Assessment High School Science Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents next to the percentage (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Florida Alterna Students scoring science.	te Assessment: at Levels 4, 5, and 6	in			
Science Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Ex	pected Level of Pe	erformance:
Probl	lem-Solving Process	to II	ncrease	Student Achiever	nent
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Res for	son or ition ponsible iitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					ing Questions",
2. Florida Alternate Assessment: Students scoring at or above Level 7 in science. Science Goal #2:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Ex	pected Level of Pe	erformance:	
Probl	em-Solving Process	to I	ncrease	Student Achieven	nent
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

Biology End-of-Course (EOC) Goals

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:

1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in Biology.					
Biology Goal #1:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:		2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Prob	lem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease	Student Achievem	nent
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				
2. Students scoring at or above Achievement Levels 4 and 5 in Biology. Biology Goal #2:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:	2013 Expected Level of Performance:			
Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement				

Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Position Responsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
No Data Submitted						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /To and/or PL Focus		PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PLC,subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Science Budget:

Evidence-based Program	m(s)/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Developme	ent		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00

End of **Science** Goals

Writing Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:				uestions", identify	
1a. FCAT 2.0: Stude Achievement Level	ents scoring at 3.0 and higher in wri	ting.			
Writing Goal #1a:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exj	pected Level of Per	formance:
Pro	blem-Solving Process	toIr	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi Resp for	on or tion oonsible itoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

3	s of student achievemen need of improvement for			0	uestions", identify
1b. Florida Alterna scoring at 4 or higl	te Assessment: Stude her in writing.	nts			
Writing Goal #1b:					
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Exj	pected Level of Per	formance:
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease \$	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Posi	son or ition ponsible	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

for Monitoring

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Facilitator	PLC,subject,	release) and	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Writing Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

U.S. History End-of-Cource (EOC) Goals

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
1. Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 in U.S. History.					
U.S. History Goal #	1:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to II	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
No Data Submitted					

Based on the analysis of student achievement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement for the following group:					
2. Students scoring	2. Students scoring at or above Achievement				
Levels 4 and 5 in U	.S. History.				
U.S. History Goal #	2:				
2012 Current Level of Performance:			2013 Expected Level of Performance:		
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool

for Monitoring

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Facilitator	PLC,subject,	release) and	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

U.S. History Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

Attendance Goal(s)

	d on the analysis of a s in need of improven		reference to "Gu	uiding Questions", ide	ntify and define		
1. Attendance Attendance Goal #1:			adult vocation Students abs days are dro Students ma approval; ho interfere with	Lindsey Hopkins Technical Education Center is an adult vocational center. Students absent from class for six consecutive days are dropped automatically from class roster. Students may re-enter the class with teacher approval; however, excessive absences which interfere with academic progress may be grounds for disciplinary action.			
2012	2 Current Attendan	ce Rate:	2013 Expec	ted Attendance Rat	e:		
N/A			N/A				
	2 Current Number o essive Absences (10			ted Number of Stud Absences (10 or mo			
N/A			N/A	N/A			
-	2 Current Number o essive Tardies (10 o			2013 Expected Number of Students with Excessive Tardies (10 or more)			
N/A			N/A	N/A			
	Problem	n-Solving Process to	o Increase Stu	dent Achievement			
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool		
1	 1.1. Students enrolled in the adult vocational classes have transportation difficulties. 1.2. Many students at Lindsey Hopkins Technical Education Center need child 	cost to students. 1.2. Provide a Child Care Program at a reasonable rate for children ages 2 to 5. 1.3. Provide tutors	1.1.Principal Assistant Principal Department Chair Student Services Dept. 1.2.Principal Assistant	1.1. Identify students who need such services through Student Services and Financial Aid who participate in programs such as, Skills for Academic, Vocational and English Studies	1.1 Data In Your Hands (DIYH) VACS Electronic Grade book Attendance Summary 1.2. Electronic		
	care services. However, many cannot afford such services due to financial difficulties.	to assist students with remediation (pending availability of gran funds) and	Principal Dept. Chair 1.3. Principal Assistant	(SAVES); Vocational Rehab or grants. 1.2. Enrolled students are	Grade book Data In Your Hands VACS		

	1.3. Many students at Lindsey Hopkins Technical Education Center work either full- or part-time.	use of the AAAAE lab.	Principal Dept. Chair Teachers	informed of all the Child Care Services available to them during school hours. 1.3 Enrolled students are informed of the availability of tutors and computer labs during school hours. Monitor attendance through Attendance Summary Reports by Student in DIYH.	1.3.Data In Your Hands Electronic Grade book Attendance Summary
2					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Facilitator	PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and		Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Attendance Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)	/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	a No Data		\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount

No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00
			End of Attendance Goal(s)

Suspension Goal(s)

Based on the analysis areas in need of imp	s of suspension data, ar rovement:	nd ref	erence to	"Guiding Questions",	identify and define
1. Suspension					
Suspension Goal #	1:				
2012 Total Number Suspensions	r of In–School		2013 Ex Suspens	pected Number of ions	In-School
2012 Total Number In-School	r of Students Suspend	led		ected Number of a ed In-School	Students
2012 Number of O	ut-of-School Suspensi	ons	2013 Expected Number of Out-of-School Suspensions		
2012 Total Number Out-of-School	r of Students Suspend	led	2013 Expected Number of Students Suspended Out-of-School		
Pro	blem-Solving Process	s to I	ncrease \$	Student Achieveme	ent
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person Positio Respor for Monito		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No Data Submitted				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PLC,subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
No Data Submitted						

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

Suspension Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s))/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of **Suspension** Goal(s)

Dropout Prevention Goal(s)

Note: Required for High School - F.S., Sec. 1003.53

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis and define areas in n	s of parent involvement eed of improvement:	and refer	ence to "Guiding Que	estions", identify	
1. Dropout Prevent	ion				
Dropout Prevention	n Goal #1:				
*Please refer to the percentage of students who dropped out during the 2011-2012 school year.					
2012 Current Dropout Rate:			2013 Exj	pected Dropout Rat	e:
2012 Current Grad	uation Rate:		2013 Exj	pected Graduation	Rate:
Pro	blem-Solving Process	to I	ncrease S	Student Achieveme	nt
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool
	No	Submitted	·		

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Facilitator	PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	early release) and	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
---	------------------------	-------------	--	--------------------------	---------------	---

		r	of neetings)	
	No	Data Submitted	l	

Dropout Prevention Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s))/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of **Dropout Prevention** Goal(s)

Parent Involvement Goal(s)

Based on the analysis of parent involvement data, and reference to "Guiding Questions", identify and define areas in need of improvement:				
1. Parent Involvement Parent Involvement Goal #1: *Please refer to the percentage of parents who participated in school activities, duplicated or unduplicated.	Lindsey Hopkins Technical Education Center is an adult facility.			
2012 Current Level of Parent Involvement:	2013 Expected Level of Parent Involvement:			
N/A	N/A			

	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
		Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool				
1		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	Facilitator	PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

Parent Involvement Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s))/Material(s)		
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00

Grand Total: \$0.00

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Goal(s)

* When using percentages, include the number of students the percentage represents (e.g., 70% (35)).

Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:									
1. STEM									
STEM Goal #1:									
Pro	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement								
Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
No Data Submitted									

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
No Data Submitted								

STEM Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of **STEM** Goal(s)

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Goal(s)

Base	Based on the analysis of school data, identify and define areas in need of improvement:									
1. C [.] CTE	TE Goal #1:		Increase the percentage of students earning Occupational Completion Points (OCP's) within the 2012- 2013 School year by one percentage point as documented by the Occupational Completion Point Summary Report on Data in Your Hands (DIYH) and the Vocational Tracking System.							
	Problem-Solving Process to Increase Student Achievement									
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool					
1	 1.1. Students enrolled in the vocational classes do not meet the required score on the Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE). 1.2. At Lindsey Hopkins 	students scoring	1.1. Principal Assistant Principal Department Head Vocational Teachers 1.2.Principal Assistant Principal	VACS who have not met the required	 1.1. Data in Your Hands DIYH 1.2. VACS 1.2 Monitoring logs of students that do not meet the graduation 					

	Center we need to recruit the number of high school students who do not meet the requirement for graduation so that they can enroll and obtain their GED and complete a technical program. 1.3. The student body is a very transient population.	 1.2. Carry out recruitment activities to increase referrals from EESAC Business Representatives, specifically the Courts, the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and the Department of Juvenile Justice; work with the area high schools to recruit students that do not meet the graduation requirement. 1.3. Monitor the membership hours and performance of students to ensure the completion of OCP. 	Head Vocational Teachers 1.3. Principal Assistant Principal Dept. Head Teacher	1.2 Maintain a relationship with the EESAC Community	from the local high schools 1.3. Electronic Grade book Attendance Summary Report in Data in Your Hands
2					

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

	PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PD Participants (e.g., PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	Target Dates (e.g., early release) and Schedules (e.g., frequency of meetings)	Strategy for Follow- up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring
I	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CTE Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)/Material(s)

Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.00
			Grand Total: \$0.00

End of CTE Goal(s)

Additional Goal #2 Goal:

		tudent achievement of improvement for th			ions", identify	
	dditional Goal #2 G tional Goal #2 Goa		Increase the Literacy Com 2013 School evidenced by scores on the	Additional Goal #2: Increase the percentage of students earning Literacy Completion Point (LCPs) within the 2012- 2013 School year by one percentage point as evidenced by the students pre and post test scores on the Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment System (CASAS)		
2012	2 Current level:		2013 Expec	ted level:		
	otal Literacy Complet g the 2011-2012 Sch	tion Points(LCPs)earn ool Year were 1683.		d level of Literacy Cor d during the 2012-20 0.		
	Problem	n-Solving Process to	o Increase Stu	dent Achievement		
	Anticipated Barrier	Strategy	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring	Process Used to Determine Effectiveness of Strategy	Evaluation Tool	
1	 1.1. Students do not attend classes on a regular basis due to transportation difficulties. 1.2. Students score low on the CASAS test due to their illiteracy in their native language or undiagnosed student disability. 1.3 Students work either full- or part-time, which decreases their home learning and study time. 	and case management services to increase retention rate. (Strategy contingent on grant renewal). 1.2. Provide material that directly correlates to the state-required assessment	1.1. Principal Assistant Principal Dept. Chair Teacher 1.2. Principal Assistant Principal	 1.1. Students with low pretest scores will receive tutoring in the lower level classes. 1.2 Curriculum Frameworks Aligned Textbooks ESOL Computer 1.3. Schedule class using listening and speaking strategies. 	 1.1 Tutorial sign in sheets and Case Managers' logs 1.2 CASAS Test 1.3 CASAS Test 	
2						

Professional Development (PD) aligned with Strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Please note that each Strategy does not require a professional development or PLC activity.

PD Content /Topic and/or PLC Focus	Grade Level/Subject	PD Facilitator and/or PLC Leader	PLC, subject, grade level, or school- wide)	release) and	up/Monitoring	Person or Position Responsible for Monitoring		
	No Data Submitted							

Budget:

Evidence-based Program(s)			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Technology			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Professional Development			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
Other			
Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amoun
No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
			Subtotal: \$0.0
			Grand Total: \$0.0

End of Additional Goal #2 Goal(s)

FINAL BUDGET

		Decerimtics of		
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Technology				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Professional Dev	velopment			
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
Other				
Goal	Strategy	Description of Resources	Funding Source	Available Amount
No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	\$0.00
				Subtotal: \$0.00
				Grand Total: \$0.00

Differentiated Accountability

School-level Differentiated Accountability Compliance

Priority	Focus	Prevent	NA
			_

Are you a reward school: OYes ONo

A reward school is any school that improves their letter grade or any school graded A.

No Attachment (Uploaded on 10/9/2012)

School Advisory Council

School Advisory Council (SAC) Membership Compliance

The majority of the SAC members are not employed by the school district. The SAC is composed of the principal and an appropriately balanced number of teachers, education support employees, students (for middle and high school only), parents, and other business and community citizens who are representative of the ethnic, racial, and economic community served by the school. Please verify the statement above by selecting "Yes" or "No" below.

Yes. Agree with the above statement.

No data submitted

Describe the activities of the School Advisory Council for the upcoming year

The Educational Excellence School Advisory Committee (EESAC) will meet on a monthly basis to assist in the preparation, evaluation and for making final recommendations relating to the development of the School Improvement Plan (SIP), update committee members on the school's progress, activities/events in order to achieve the district's and school's goals, and foster an environment of professional collaboration among all stakeholders.

The SIP will be reviewed and approved in September 2012.

AYP DATA

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2011-2012 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2010-2011 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Trend Data 2009-2010

SCHOOL GRADE DATA

No Data Found No Data Found No Data Found